



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance

Print Post Publication Number 10000815

Vol. 59 No. 38

6<sup>th</sup> October 2023

## IN THIS ISSUE

Fidelio By Arnis Luks	1
The Incarnation is Vital By Don Auchterlonie	4

**Thought for the Week:** I would commend, therefore, to you a most serious consideration of this issue, whether you wish the economic system to be made the vehicle for an unseen government, over which you have no control, which you did not elect, and which you cannot remove so long as you accept its premises; or whether, on the other hand, you are determined to free the forces of modern science, so that your needs for goods and services may be met with increasing facility and decreasing effort, thus, in turn, permitting humanity to expend its energy on altogether higher planes of effort than those involved in the mere provision of the means of subsistence. C.H. Douglas, *The Breakdown of the Employment System*

### FIDELIO By Arnis Luks

Ludwig van Beethoven wrote only one opera, *Fidelio*. The dramatic storyline is of a woman attempting to find her kidnapped-husband in the maze of tyranny. She disguises herself as a man and takes employment with the chief jailer in the hope that information will be uncovered as to her husband Florestan's whereabouts. She faces impossible odds but pursues her slim chance regardless. I will often watch this opera and am each time inspired. This archetypal theme, to my mind, is based on the Christian gospel of Christ's redemption of all mankind, no matter how far one is lost. He leaves the 99. None that have been given to Him will be lost. None.

I am a practical man having been brought up around the land, homebuilding, heavy industry, large rotating equipment, and significant hydrocarbon processing facilities. You could say I am well grounded in the real world. Abstractions or theories must be tested in front of my very eyes in order to be validated. This is why Marxism holds little sway. It is only an abstraction, a false utopia that on the grounds of experience always equates tyranny.

My training has occurred in the practical world. While a lot of the hydrocarbon industry training is initially done from drawings, when the opportunity allows these theoretical concepts are inspected for the physical-reality inside the equipment. Each component operating in a refinery is studied in detail, and then considered in the overall process of how things work together. I micro-examine something, and then step away to consider its function in the overall picture of things. This discipline and methodology has proved reliable across my life. I recently disassembled a car engine down to the block, had the twin heads examined and resurfaced, and then reassembled them with new seals and gaskets. Understanding the purpose of each component assisted my deeper understanding of how this modern engine of variable-camshafts works.

Dr Ian McGillchrist writes of the necessity to see and experience the embodiment. I call this the incarnation. What does it look like and how does it work in the real world, is a good way to conceive of things from this line of thought. So, on one hand we have *Fidelio* symbolising hope in amongst impossible odds, and on the other hand we have the embodiment of how things really work in the real world.

I believe this life is a staging post for eternity. We are called upon, just as the fig tree was called upon by the Christ to bear fruit out of season, to do the impossible, even against all odds and difficulties.

World government has revealed its hand to the world. World government has been operating behind the scenes since at least before the French Revolution. The predecessors of today's world government brought about that French revolution 250 years ago, as they did the Bolshevik revolution 100 years ago, and are now charting a course for complete world revolution. Books written by Nesta Webster, Carol Quigley and Anthony Sutton are just a few authors of the many who deal with this disturbing subject.

These past few days, in my aside time, I have spent rebuilding a damaged website. This required support from several external sources to assist me to hack into the backend. I had never done this before, however entering queries into search engines soon enough opened this obscure pathway. Those that had gone before me, may never be aware of how important their preliminary work was to this successful outcome. I was in fact and deed, tapping into the social credit of society. I was harvesting that rich cultural legacy that has been laid down before me.

In Arthur Bryant's work *A History Of Britain and the British People Volume 1 Set In A Silver Sea - The Island Peoples From Earliest Times to The 15th Century*, I found the significant struggles and overcoming-of-adversity by the British people to be inspiring. The many invasions, military defeats, and subjection to tyrants was the making

of that people. Those series of adversity consolidated their Christian institutions of Monarchy, Representative Parliamentary Democracy, and the Common Law.

I don't believe their suffering is yet over. In fact, the most glaring anomalies today are the desire for effective representation for Scotland, Wales and Ireland. Those voices of complaint will never be silent until there is instituted an effective federation, and dare I say similar to Australia, with a limited and constituted central government.

68 million people are too numerous and diverse to be dominantly-controlled by a central government. Without doubt, many other nations suffer under similar circumstances of ineffective representation by centralised government. There is an optimum size after which the bureaucracy becomes a tyranny.

Pursuing a common goal becomes more readily apparent under duress. We know what we don't want. It is to the negative. Knowing how to fix the problem is a job for the first-rate expert which are sadly few and far between. Even across the minor parties, there is agreement as to what we don't want. However, there is no common agreement as to what is required to achieve the remedy to the most glaring problems. I believe this is a major part of the strategy against us, to circumvent representative government. Divide and/to conquer.

A video of a local council meeting in Aurora, Canada has recently surfaced and been placed onto our main website front page *alor.org*. Regarding the UN Agenda 2030, the speaker knows the problem, and wishes to enter into effective dialogue with Local Government officials, which did by a show of applauding-hands unify the people. But as to forming an agreement as to what to do, that is another question entirely. Being seated at the table of discussion is a very good first step.

Local government has been transposed into Regional Corporations under a CEO - for profit. This has occurred under all political flavours. It is not an initiative from the common people. It is a directive downwards from the United Nations - SDGs - Sustainable Development Goals <https://sdgs.un.org/goals> and ICLEI - the International Council for Local Environmental Initiatives <http://www.iclei.org/> and United Cities and Local Governments <https://uclg.org/>

These are each forms of unauthorised interrelatedness between the United Nations and our Regional Corporations.

In order to implement this world government policy - locally, our State Governments restructured local government into regions, and appointed/selected the managing CEO for these Regional Corporations. Our elected representatives, the Mayor, Aldermen and Councillors are now hamstrung as a representative body of the will of the people, being the lowest level of these newly established Public-Private Partnerships.

World government, being a 'rules-based order', national, state and local 'laws or ordinances' are quietly

ignored in preference to UN 'rules-based directives'.

Magna Carta was repeatedly fought over by the common people against those powers of that day ignoring the law of the land. The King and the People are all subject to the same law. The UN and all its subbranches must also be subject to our same laws.

Attempting to impose this rules-based order outside of our 'Limiting Constitution' is a form of treachery and I believe rightly described as a tyranny. As to an act of treason, future generations can consider that for themselves.

Empire, at least the pursuit of empire, is as old as man. The Dutch East Indies Corporation, and the British East Indies Corporation were corporate attempts in the pursuit of empire. Genghis Khan, Alexander the Great, Napoleon and Hitler were military-conquest forms in the same pursuit of empire. The modern expression of the New International Economic Order, or World Government for short, is a combination of corporate and military conquest. But not against a nation-state as such, but due to the capitulation of each nations' elites, is now against the common people of each nation to bring about World Government policy.

The publication *The New Age* of 1st April 1937 produced a graphic of this structure that is available here: [https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/World-Government-pyramid\\_of\\_power.png](https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/World-Government-pyramid_of_power.png)

While the original image is some 87 years old, this modern depiction is surprisingly accurate to today and worth deeper consideration regarding current events. In fact, without recognising the more complete structure as illustrated, it is difficult to appreciate how ineffective your local representative has become. We experience the results on the ground but may not appreciate this complex design of world power.

As to the correct consideration of the apex of power of the UN structure, you can do no better than consider who holds United Nations Security Council 'veto power'. This conversation link below, while suggesting the difficulty in changing Russia's veto power, also suggests that we are to expect less from the UN. In other words, capitulation to the way things are.

<https://theconversation.com/stripping-russias-veto-power-on-the-security-council-is-all-but-impossible-perhaps-we-should-expect-less-from-the-un-instead-213985>

The **United Nations Security Council veto power** is the power of the five permanent members of the UN Security Council (China, France, Russia, the United Kingdom, and the United States) to veto any "substantive" resolution. They also happen to be the nuclear-weapon states (NWS) under the terms of the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons. However, a permanent member's abstention or absence does not prevent a draft resolution from being adopted. This veto power does not apply to "procedural" votes, as determined by the permanent members themselves. A permanent member can also block the selection

of a Secretary-General, although a formal veto is unnecessary since the vote is taken behind closed doors.

The veto power is controversial. Supporters regard it as a promoter of international stability, a check against military interventions, and a critical safeguard against United States domination. Critics say that the veto is the most undemocratic element of the UN, as well as the main cause of inaction on war crimes and crimes against humanity, as it effectively prevents UN action against the permanent members and their allies.<sup>1</sup>

### UN Charter

The veto power originates in Article 27 of the United Nations Charter, which states:

1. Each member of the Security Council shall have a vote.
2. Decisions of the Security Council on procedural matters shall be made by an affirmative vote of nine members.
3. Decisions of the Security Council on all other matters shall be made by an affirmative vote of nine members including the concurring votes of the permanent members; provided that, in decisions under Chapter VI, and under paragraph 3 of Article 52, a party to a dispute shall abstain from voting.

Chapter VI and Article 52 only involve Security Council recommendations, and not binding resolutions (which are under Chapter VII), thus ensuring that the permanent members have veto power over all UN sanctions and all UN peacekeeping operations.

A negative vote from any of the permanent members will block the adoption of a draft resolution. However, a permanent member that abstains or is absent from the vote will not block a resolution from being passed. Although the "power of veto" is not mentioned by name in the UN Charter, Article 27 requires concurring votes from the permanent members. For this reason, the "power of veto" is also referred to as the principle of "great power unanimity" and the veto itself is sometimes referred to as the "great power veto".

My assessment of the UN is that it was originally set up, interestingly by communists financed by the capital West, to implement world government policy. Those 'powers' holding veto within the Security Council are meant to do exactly what they are doing. UK, USA, China and Russia appear to be consolidating their power over the world, in preference against France. The goings-on in Africa suggest this assessment as accurate.

I don't see France as so heavily involved in the CBDC, hypersonic missile technology, nor small package nuclear reactors, which are all shared technologies between the four-remaining veto-power-states. I could be wrong, but I doubt it. There is no honour amongst thieves!

The ultimate worldly powers are central banks

that operate above the UN and national governments. What is vitally important about the Aurora, Canada video, after recognising the corporatised structure of local government, was the call to enter into meaningful dialogue with the council officials. I'm convinced it's at this realm of local government that we must begin the rebuild – even against what appear to be impossible odds. There's no point in attempting to call for an adjudication of "human rights" from the UN courts, or any other centralised power. The result will be the same; of acceptance rather than turning back the tide of tyranny.

It must be a combination of political-will by the people that is fully supported and actively endorsed by their representatives. This cannot occur until a thorough knowledge of our actual constituted civic arrangements is grasped, and a united front against UN policy is realised.

The 'common man on the land' movement, I believe, is designed to sow civic-confusion and create social-anarchy by breaking down faith in our legally constituted institutions. This is its purpose, as to why it was formed.

The political parties were created for similar reasons; to monopolise political power within the party-hierarchy, rather than provide effective representation which is the constituted purpose of every representative. The chief functions of every representative of every level of government, is to hold the Executive to account within the Parliament, and to represent the will of their electorate. Neither is effectively occurring under party discipline.

On our main [alor.org](http://alor.org) website the "Video Library" features Dr David Mitchell, an eminent International and Constitutional lawyer (as well a moderator for the Presbyterian Communion). I was fortunate to have filmed his five lectures which are available there, and from [veritasbooks.com.au](http://veritasbooks.com.au) as DVDs. They need to be studied, as does Walter Murdoch's *The Australian Citizen*, and, *The Struggle For Freedom*, both books being available from our online PDF Library. I had to go to some lengths to ascertain my printed copies.

For those drawn into deeper research of our Constitution, the Samuel Griffith Society website is a veritable treasure trove of opinions. I certainly don't endorse all their papers, but I do recommend reading a diverse range of opinions regarding constitutional matters. <https://www.samuelgriffith.org/>

An archive from 1992 until 2019 of papers delivered to the society is also available here

<http://kirra.austlii.edu.au/au/journals/SGSocUphAUCon/>

Looking more deeply at the structure of these transnational corporations, the United Nations, BlackRock, Vanguard and State Street, I came to appreciate that all these entities operate in a legal-realm that does not directly-link with those individuals who ultimately control them. There appears to be some distance from which this rules-based-order operates, and those pulling the strings being held accountable.

Between them and us are layers upon layers of bureaucracy. From the local government CEO, through our constituted State bureaucracy, our constituted Commonwealth bureaucracy, NGOs non-governmental organisations as public private partnerships, substructures such as World Health Organisation and the World Economic Forum, front-individuals such as Bill Gates and Klaus Schwabb, and onto central banks with their army of bureaucrats beneath them. In the end, their objective is a planned society for the whole world - you will own nothing and be happy.

Those that lived under communism have first-hand experience of what this was like in the real world – incarnated or embodied. It was certainly no picnic and the administration turned on the people with guns

## THE INCARNATION IS VITAL By Don Auchterlonie

The concept of the incarnation is a vital part of social credit. In the creed of St Athanasius, we find the phrase "Furthermore, it is necessary to everlasting salvation; that he also believe rightly the incarnation of our Lord Jesus Christ". In my Chambers Dictionary (circa 1910) incarnation means "act of embodying in flesh; act of taking a human body and nature of a man".

The incarnation of our Lord Jesus Christ was and still is a world-shattering event. The Gospel of John 1:14 says "And the word was made flesh and dwelt among us, full of grace and truth".

In John 18:37 Pilate saith unto him, Art thou a king then? Jesus answered, Thou sayest that I am a king. To this end was I born, and for this cause came I into the world, that I should bear witness unto the truth.

There we have the authority from the highest level to seek out and proclaim the truth.

In "*The Realistic Position of the Church of England*" C.H. Douglas mentions the doctrine of the incarnation and says that human political action should conform to the same sort of discipline as that in doing a multiplication sum. It must be right.

How does the incarnation work out in practice? We recognize the Christian basis of Social Credit, the idea that the individual is ultra-important and that our social systems should be as decentralized as possible. So how do we incarnate that idea into a reality?

I like a simple definition of social credit. There are two words, social refers to people and credit means something good, so social credit means "people's benefit".

There are social creditors and there are social discreditors. Each of these groups incarnate their ideas into society. The level of social credit in society can go up or it can go down.

Let us discuss the matter of local government. The social creditors would organize local government into the most decentralized model that was practical. They would have the ridings (electorates) located so that the residents had good representation. They would have the administration divided into two, and put a secretary in *ON TARGET*

and imprisonment every time they resisted tyranny. Why would those former communists not warn us? In fact, individuals did many times, but we may not have listened. Like the legitimate prophets of old, the public generally didn't have 'ears to hear'.

I set reading tasks within the *OnTarget* each week in the hope that newfound knowledge will empower you to personally enter into this greater conversation about our ancient rights and freedoms, that will not be upheld by the UN of any other centralised authority, but that every voice can be heard. This paper by Sir Paul Hasluck 1994 provides further detailed understanding of why our Constitution must be abided by all, including the UN.

<https://static1.squarespace.com/static/596ef6aec534a5c54429ed9e/t/5c9c62f1b208fc3c6aad1b17/1553752818999/v3app1-3.pdf> \*\*\*

charge of the paperwork and an engineer in charge of the physical work.

The social discreditors would amalgamate local government into bigger and bigger units. They would put a CEO in charge of all administration, and a series of Managers in charge of all the different areas of the Shire. The Staff would grow and grow and consume the biggest part of the rate revenue. The social discreditors would want to put on more staff so that they could write better submissions to Canberra to get more grant money.

The responsibility of a social creditor is to incarnate the natural laws of the universe, that is the God-made laws as written in our Makers Manual the Bible. An example is the law of love where you contract, you don't steal. Or to incarnate the teaching of Jesus that figs don't grow on thistles. Which means that you don't get a good result from a bad idea.

If we care to look, we find that the Scriptures are bristling with social credit principles; for example we say that the goods of the earth are for all men or the purpose of production is consumption. Ecclesiastes 5:9 says "Moreover the profit of the earth is for all, even the King himself is served by the field".

Ecclesiastes 5:10 says "He that loveth silver shall not be satisfied with silver". This is the seemingly inexorable situation of men who have stacks of "silver" and to derive more satisfaction they crave power over their fellow men.

In Matthew 4:8-10 the devil tempted Jesus by offering Him power over all the kingdoms of the world if He would "fall down and worship him". Jesus rejected this proposition. Now I put the question: have those who do have massive power "fallen down and worshipped satan"?

To sum up, social creditors need to be always thinking about decentralization and ways to bring it about. We need to decentralize EVERYTHING.

On Target is printed and authorised by Arnis J. Luks  
13 Carsten Court, Happy Valley, SA.  
Telephone: 08 8322 8923 eMail: [heritagebooks@alor.org](mailto:heritagebooks@alor.org)